# Chapter - 6
## Conclusion and Future Work

This chapter describes the research activity and its outcomes versus the predicted results as thought throughout the design phase. A complete analysis is being carried out to estimate future possibilities and enhancement to the system gained as a consequence of the suggested study. The study also discusses the important challenges/issues that could be investigated further to move it ahead.

## 6.1 Findings and Conclusions

By comparing the results of using the FCFS task scheduling algorithm in a Fog and cloud context, it appears that FCFS in the Fog environment better optimizes latency, total network utilization, and energy consumption. In contrast to cloud environments, latency, quality of service, and cost are all improved by using the fuzzy series parallel preprocessing resource scheduling algorithm in a Fog setting.

Latency and power consumption can be minimized by using the Shortest Job First Heuristic approach to schedule work. Much like the preemptive task priority network, the resource allocation technique greatly improves both QoS and efficiency.

Rule-based fuzzy network often known as fuzzy logic, is a resource scheduling technique that optimizes both latency and energy usage. In a similar vein, the QoS may be significantly optimized with the Fault, Configuration, Accounting, Performance, and Security methods.

## 6.2 Summarization of Hypotheses Testing Results

The comparison between fog-based and cloud-based systems based on execution time ($H_01$) demonstrates that using smart fog-based systems results in a significant decrease in execution time when compared to cloud-based systems. With values of 9872, 3008, 7866, 5417, 4533, 4024, and 8703, respectively, there is a significant reduction in execution time in the Fog systems 8:10, 9:9, 7:10, 6:10, 6:6, 4:10, and 2:6. It is therefore abundantly evident that the Fog layer is crucial to cutting down on execution time.

The comparison of Fog-based and Cloud-based systems based on latency ($H_02$) reveals that there is a significant reduction in latency with the usage of Smart Fog-based systems as opposed to Cloud-based systems. There is a significant reduction in latency value in the Fog system 10:5, 4:4, 2:5, 2:4, 2:3, 2:2, 1:5, 1:4, 1:3, 1:2, and 1:1,

such as 453.52, 198.92, 190.69, 198.13, 199.71, 201.36, 191.91, 197.73, 199.41, 201.16, and 194.08. As a result, the fog layer plays a crucial role in latency reduction.

The evaluation between Fog-based and Cloud-based systems based on energy consumption reveals a significant reduction in energy consumption when using Smart Fog-based systems against Cloud-based systems ($H_0 3$). There is a significant reduction in energy consumption in the fog systems 10:5, 5:5, 4:5, 4:4, 3:5, 2:5, 2:4, 2:3, 2:2, 1:5, 1:4,1:3, 1:2 and 1:1. Hence, based on the performance measure energy used, it is apparent that there is a considerable difference between the SMART FOG protocol-based system and the cloud-based system.

The analysis of Fog fog-based systems and Cloud cloud-based systems based on cost of execution reveals that there is a significant cost of execution decrease with the usage of Smart Fog-based systems as compared to Cloud-based systems ($H_0 4$). There is a significant cost reduction in the Fog system 10:5, 6:10, 5:5, 4:5, 4:4, 3:10, 3:5, 2:9, 2:8, 2:6, 2:5, 2:4, 2:3, 2:2, 1:5, 1:4, 1:3, 1:2, and 1:1. Hence, based on the performance measure cost of execution, it is evident that there is a considerable difference between the SMART FOG protocol-based system and the cloud-based system.

The comparison between Fog-based system and Cloud cloud-based system based on total network usage reveals that there is a significant decrease in total network usage when using a Smart Fog-based system against Cloud-based systems ($H_0 5$).In the fog system, there is a significant reduction in overall network utilization such as 813124, 100000, 100000, 889585, 100000, 717690, 600582.6, 100000, 560311.2, 200000, 100000, 376389.8, 300487.8, 226130, 151466.2, 187988.4, 150136.4, 112806.6, 75270.6, and 38142.7. Hence, based on the performance measure of total network use, it is apparent that there is a considerable difference between the SMART FOG protocol-based system and the cloud-based system.

The analysis of Fog-based and Cloud-based systems based on computational power consumed reveals a significant reduction in computational power consumed when using Smart Fog-based systems against Cloud-based systems ($H_0 6$). There is a significant reduction in computational power consumed by Fog systems in all cases when compared to cloud-based systems, implying that there is a significant difference between SMART FOG protocol-based systems and cloud-based systems based on the

performance measure computational power consumed by Fog devices in comparison to Cloud devices. For statistical validation of our findings, various null hypotheses were tested and the outcomes of these tests are as follows:

**Table 6.1: Chi-Square ($\chi^2$) Test for Awareness Level**

| Sr. No. | Hypothesis | Result @ 5 % Level |
|---|---|---|
| $H_0 1$ | There is no significant difference between SMART FOG protocol-based system and cloud-based system based on the performance measure execution time. | Rejected |
| $H_a 2$ | There is a significant difference between SMART FOG protocol-based System and cloud-based systems based on the performance measure latency. | Accepted |
| $H_0 3$ | There is no significant difference between SMART FOG protocol-based system and cloud-based system based on the performance measure energy consumed. | Rejected |
| $H_a 4$ | There is significant difference between SMART FOG protocol-based system and cloud-based system based on the performance measure cost of execution. | Accepted |
| $H_0 5$ | There is no significant difference between SMART FOG protocol-based system and cloud-based system based on the performance measure of total network usage. | Rejected |
| $H_a 6$ | There is a significant difference between SMART FOG protocol-based system and cloud-based system based on the performance measure computational power consumed. | Accepted |

Table 6.1, can be concluded that the hypothesis "SMART FOG protocol-based technique to create Fog Computing environment will share computational power to IoT devices with low computational power and other aspects" is being accepted which suggests that SMART FOG protocol-based technique reduces computational power consumption for the Fog devices and share computational power with IoT devices by lower the total consumption.

Finally, the hypothesis "$H_a 1$: SMART FOG protocol-based technique to create Fog Computing environment will share computational power to IoT devices with low computational power and other aspects" is accepted, implying that the SMART FOG protocol-based technique reduces computational power consumption for Fog devices and shares computational power with IoT devices by lowering total consumption.

## 6.3 Use of Machine Learning Techniques for Task Scheduling

It was discovered that when the K-Star classifier was employed for task scheduling, it properly identified around 91% of the cases, which was much higher than the other classification approaches tested, such as IBK, Logistic Regression, and AdaBoostM1. Similarly, the accuracy, recall, and F-measure values of 0.92, 0.91, and 0.90 were greater in comparison to IBK, Logistic Regression, and AdaBoostM1; also, the mean absolute error value was 0.05, and the FP rate value was 0.04.

In logistic regression, the correctly categorized examples were about 88%, which was much higher than the other classification approaches investigated, such as IBK and AdaBoostM1. Similarly, the accuracy, recall, and F-measure values of 0.88, 0.88, and 0.87 were greater in comparison to IBK and AdaBoostM1, as was the mean absolute error value of 0.05 and the FP rate value of 0.04.

Overall K-star is the best classification algorithm that can be used for task scheduling followed by Logistic Regression as in the majority of observations at different configuration settings the Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F-Measure, etc. are higher in case of algorithms mentioned above.

## 6.4 Classification Algorithms in Task Offloading and Resource Allocation

The results confirm that the MLP classifier has the best overall accuracy value 0.83, followed by the Logistic Regression value 0.80. The other classification methods had an overall accuracy of roughly 0.75 in the case of the J48 classifier, 0.60, 0.61, and 0.48 in the case of Bagging, IBK, and K-Star, respectively. MLP and Logistic Regression were discovered to be the best acceptable classifiers based on performance measure total accuracy. Comparing classifiers based on overall Kappa statistics used for task offloading and resource allocation in SMART FOG environment, MLP and Logistic Regression have higher overall Kappa statistics values of 0.67 and 0.6, respectively, indicating that they are superior classifiers.

MLP classifier has the best precision at 0.85, followed by Logistic Regression at 0.83. J48 had 0.79 Precision, Bagging 0.48, IBK 0.76, and K-Star 0.49. MLP and Logistic Regression were the most precise classifiers.

MLP classifier has the greatest recall overall with 0.84, followed by Logistic Regression with 0.80. Bagging, IBK, and K-Star had Recalls of 0.61, 0.62, and 0.49, respectively, while J48 had 0.75. MLP and Logistic Regression were the best classifiers for total Recall.

MLP classifier has the lowest mean absolute error value of 0.17, followed by Logistic Regression with 0.23. J48, Bagging, IBK, and K-Star had mean absolute error values of 0.27, 0.58, 0.39, and 0.45, respectively. MLP and Logistic Regression were the best classifiers based on performance metric mean absolute error value.

In conclusion, after examining each classification algorithm based on a variety of accuracy parameters, one can conclude that MLP and Logistic Regression are the classification algorithms that are best suited for resource allocation and task offloading.

## 6.5 Future Scope

In this section, the key issues, future difficulties, and future research prospects for task scheduling in fog computing are discussed.

**Resource Utilization of Fog Node**

The fog devices have limited storage, processing, and energy capabilities due to their lack of resources. They receive dynamic workloads from applications that are sensitive to latency as well as apps that are tolerant of delay. As a result, the difficult aspect is to schedule the unpredictability of the arrival of activities on these fog nodes to make the best possible use of the available resources.

**Optimal Resource Allocation**

IoT devices produce a large number of tasks, which have to be appropriately distributed between fog nodes to achieve a quicker reaction time. This is especially important for applications that are sensitive to latency. Since fog computing makes it possible for fog nodes and Internet of Things devices to move about freely, the resources that are reachable at any given time may be inaccessible at other times. Because of this, the process of allocating resources is a difficult endeavor. The problems that need to be addressed are long latency for real-time applications, a lack of generalization, and rapid adaptation of the algorithms that are currently available.

**Parallel Scheduling**

In the method known as parallel processing, one operation is broken down into several smaller tasks, all of which are then carried out at the same time. Another unresolved problem that requires attention is the division of activities into subtasks that can decrease delays through the use of distributed computing.

**Privacy**

Several different fog applications, such as smart healthcare, send a significant amount of personally identifiable information to fog nodes. As a result, protecting the confidentiality of such data is of the utmost importance to users. Even while some researchers use methods that protect users' privacy on fog nodes, there is yet no authentication solution that can be considered satisfactory. Because the fog nodes are more susceptible to possible dangers, authenticating users can be a difficult and time-consuming process.

**Security**

Fog nodes are vulnerable to attacks. As a result, developing a safety algorithm that is not only lightweight but also has a fast speed and is trustworthy is still a tough issue. At the moment, only a small number of academics are focusing their attention on the security concerns associated with fog computing; nonetheless, there are still several outstanding challenges, such as dynamic authentication, access controls, external threats, and intrusion detection.

**Context-aware Service Provisioning**

The context is made up of the many runtime elements that have the potential to influence the applications. The currently available approaches to context-aware service provisioning are less flexible and scalable, and they are unable to manage a significant number of Internet of Things applications. Because of this, more approaches to context-aware service delivery should be researched so that the aforementioned restrictions may be solved.

**Energy Consumption**

Energy-aware computing in fog is still an open question that has to be answered since fog devices are limited in their ability to use energy due to their usage of low-power batteries. Several academics are concentrating their efforts on energy optimization,

but several problems still need to be addressed, including improper utilization of bandwidth during data transfer, energy waste, and battery-draining concerns.

## 1.6 Limitations

Fog computing faces several limitations, including high latency compared to edge computing, increased complexity in network management, potential security vulnerabilities, and limited scalability. It can also suffer from resource constraints due to dependency on intermediate devices and challenges in data processing efficiency. Additionally, ensuring consistent connectivity and handling diverse data types can pose significant difficulties in fog computing environments.

1. Scope and Generalizability: The study may have focused on specific IoT architectures, protocols, and technologies, which might limit its generalizability to other IoT scenarios or environments.

2. Real-world Implementation Challenges: The study might not have addressed the practical challenges associated with implementing the SMART FOG protocol-based technique, such as hardware compatibility, software integration, security considerations, and deployment complexities.

3. Benchmarking and Comparison: The study might lack comprehensive benchmarking or comparison with existing IoT architectures, protocols, or alternative solutions. Comparative analysis would provide a better understanding of the advantages and limitations of the proposed SMART FOG approach.

4. Limited Testing Scenarios: The evaluation of the SMART FOG technique might have been conducted under specific testing scenarios or simulated environments, which may not fully capture the complexities and dynamics of real-world IoT deployments.

5. Time Constraints: The study might have faced time limitations, which could impact the depth of analysis, experimentation, and validation of the proposed techniques.

6. Lack of Real-world Deployment Validation: The proposed SMART FOG technique might not have been validated in real-world IoT deployments or scenarios, which may limit the assessment of its practical applicability and performance.

In conclusion, fog computing's limitations include potential latency issues, increased network complexity, and security vulnerabilities. It also faces scalability challenges, resource constraints from intermediary devices, and inefficiencies in data processing.

# REFERENCES

## A. Journal and Books

Aalsadie D. (2022), "Task Scheduling in Fog Computing – Classification, Review, Challenges and Future Directions" IJCSNS International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security, VOL.22 No.4, pp. 89-96.

Aazam M., & Huh E.N. (2015), "Dynamic resource provisioning through Fog micro datacenter. Pervasive Computing and Communication Workshops (PerCom Workshops)", IEEE, 2015, pp. 105-110.

Aazam M., & Huh E.N. (2015), "Fog computing micro datacentre based dynamic resource estimation and pricing model for IoT. In Advanced Information Networking and Applications (AINA)", IEEE 29th International Conference, 2015, pp. 687-694.

Abdul-Qawy A., Magesh E., &Tadisetty S. (2015), "The IoT: An Overview", A S Abdul-Qawy et al. Int. Journal of Engineering Research and Applications ISSN: 2248-9622, Vol. 5, Issue 12, (Part - 2) December 2015, pp.71-82.

Abohamama A.S., El-Ghamry A., & Hamouda E. (2016), "Real-Time Task Scheduling Algorithm for IoT-Based Applications in the Cloud–Fog Environment", J NetwSyst Manage, pp. 30-54.

Abomhara M., Koien G.M. (2014), "Security and privacy in the IoT: Current status and open issues. In Privacy and Security in Mobile Systems (PRISMS)", International Conference on. IEEE, pp. 1–8.

Adel A. (2020), "Utilizing technologies of fog computing in educational IoT systems: privacy, security, and agility perspective", J Big Data, 7, 99. doi:10.1186/s40537-020-00372., 2020, pp. 37-72.

Ahmed, A., Arkian, H., Battulga, D., Fahs, A., Farhadi, M., Giouroukis, D., & Wu, L. (2019), "Fog Computing Applications: Taxonomy and Requirements", pp.1-4.

Aimal Khan, Assad Abbas, Hasan Ali Khattak, Faisal Rehman, Ikram Ud Din, Sikandar Ali. (2022), "Effective Task Scheduling in Critical Fog Applications", Scientific Programming, vol. 2022, Article ID 9208066, pp. 1-15.

Alavi, A., Jiao, P., Buttlar, W., & Lajnef, N. (2018), "IoT-enabled smart cities: State-of-the-art and future trends". Measurement, pp.129.

Alizadeh M., Khajehvand V., Rahmani A., & Akbari E. (2020), "Task scheduling approaches in fog computing: A systematic review", International Journal of Communication Systems 33, pp. 45-83.

Aljumah, A., &Ahanger, T. A. (2018), "Fog computing and security issues: A review. In Proceedings of the 2018 7th International Conference on Computers Communications and Control (ICCCC)", Oradea, Romania, 8–12 May 2018, pp. 237–239.

Alrawais, A., Alhothaily, A., Hu, C., & Cheng, X. (2017), "Fog computing for the IoT: Security and privacy issues". IEEE Internet Comput., 21(6), pp. 34–42.

Alsmadi A.M., Aloglah R.M.A., Abu-darwish N.J.S., Al Smadi A., Alshabanah M., Alkhaldi H., Alsmadi M.K. (2021), "International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE)", Vol. 11, No. 3, June 2021, pp. 2219~2228. ISSN: 2088-8708, DOI: 10.11591/ijece.v11i3, pp. 2219-2228.

Alturki, B., Reif-Marganiec, S., Perera, C., & De, S. (2019), "Exploring the Effectiveness of Service Decomposition in Fog Computing Architecture for the IoT".1904.00381, pp.10-12.

Ansari D.B. (2018), Atteeq-Ur-Rehman, and R. A. Mughal, "Internet of Things (IoT) protocols: A brief exploration of MQTT and CoAP", International Journal of Computer Applications, vol. 179, no. 27, pp. 9–14.

Atlam, H., Walters, R., & Wills, G. (2018), "Fog Computing and the IoT: A Review". Big Data and Cognitive Computing, 2(2), pp.1-10.

Attar, A. H., & Sutagundar, A. (2018), "A survey on resource management for fog-enhanced services and applications". Int. J. Sci. Res., 17(2), p.138.

Badidi, E., &Ragmani, A. (2020), "An Architecture for QoS-Aware Fog Service Provisioning". Procedia Comput. Sci., 170, pp.411–418.

Bandyopadhyay, D., & Sen, J. (2011), "IoT: Applications and challenges in technology and standardization". Wireless Personal Communications, 58, pp.49–69.

Baniata, H., & Kertesz, A. (2020), "A survey on blockchain-fog integration approaches". IEEE Access, 8, 102657–102668, pp.25-27.

Baouya, A., Chehida, S., Bensalem, S., &Bozga, M. (2020), "Fog Computing and Blockchain for Massive IoT Deployment". In 2020 9th Mediterranean Conf. on Embedded Computing (MECO), pp.1-2.

Bellavista, P., Berrocal, J., Corradi, A., Das, S., Foschini, L., & Zanni, A. (2019), "A survey on fog computing for the IoT". Pervasive Mob. Comput., 52, pp. 71–99.

Berlin, (2018), "A Research Perspective on Fog Computing", Springer International Publishing AG, part of Springer Nature 2018L. Braubach et al. (Eds.): ICSOC Workshops 2017, LNCS 10797, pp. 198–210.

Berman, F., Cabrera, E., Jebari, A., & Marrakchi, W. (2022), "The impact universe – a framework for prioritizing the public interest in the IoT". Patterns, 3(1), 100398. pp. 1–8.

Bitam S., Zeadally S., Mellouk A. (2018), "Fog computing job scheduling optimization based on bees swarm", Enterpr. Inform. Syst., https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/17517575.2017.1304579, Vol. 12, pp. 373-397.

Bonomi, F., Milito, R., Zhu, J., Addepalli, S. "Fog computing and its role in the internet of things", In Proceedings of the first edition of the MCC workshop on Mobile cloud computing, ACM (2012), pp. 13–16.

Borodin, V. A. (2014), "IoT– the next stage of the digital revolution". Educational Resources and Technologies, 2(5), pp.4-5.

Bosman, R., Lukkien, J., & Verhoeven, R. (2011), "Gateway architectures for service-oriented application-level gateways". IEEE Trans. on Consumer Electronics, 57(2), pp. 453–461.

Bourque, P., & Fairley, R. (Eds.). (2014), SWEBOK: "Guide to the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge (3.0 ed.)". IEEE Computer Society, Los Alamitos, pp. 1-2.

Bubnova, M. Yu., & Kryukova, A. A. (2014), "Social client-oriented technologies in the activities of modern companies". Economics and Society, 3(4), pp. 65–67.

Butun, I., Sari, A., & ÃŰsterberg, P. (2019), "Security Implications of Fog Computing on the IoT". In 2019 IEEE International Conference on Consumer Electronics (ICCE), pp. 1-10.

Chen S., Xu H., Liu D., Hu B., Wang H. (2014), "A vision of IOT: Applications, challenges, and opportunities with China perspective". IEEE IoTjournal, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 349–359.

Chiang, M., & Zhang, T. (2016), "Fog and IoT: An overview of research opportunities". IEEE Internet Things J., 3, pp. 854–864.

CIW Team. (2023), "China's IoT spending to reach US$298 billion by 2026". Retrieved from https://www.chinainternetwatch.com/31628/iot-market-trends, pp. 2–8.

DeMedeiros, K., Hendawi, A., & Alvarez, M. (2023), "A survey of AI-based anomaly detection in IoT and sensor networks. Sensors", 23, pp. 1352.

Din, I. U., Guizani, M., Kim, B. S., Hassan, S., & Khan, M. K. (2018), "Trust management techniques for the IoT: A survey". IEEE Access, 7, pp. 29763–29787.

Dubravac, S., & Ratti, C. (2015), IoT: Evolution or revolution? Part 1 of the IoT report series. pp. 8–9.

Edemacu, K., &Bulega, T. (2014), "Resource sharing between M2M and H2H traffic under time-controlled scheduling scheme in LTE networks". In: 2014 8th International Conference on Telecommunication Systems Services and Applications (TSSA), pp. 1–6.

El Alami, Hassan & Sidna, Jeddou & Baina, Amine & Najid, Abdellah. (2020), "Analysis and evaluation of communication Protocols for IoT Applications". IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 7(4), pp. 630–640.

Ema, R. R., Islam, T., & Ahmed, M. H. (2019), "Suitability of Using Fog Computing Alongside Cloud Computing". In Proceedings of the 2019 10th International Conference on Computing, Communication and Networking Technologies (ICCCNT), Kanpur, India, 6–8 July 2019, pp. 1–4.

Gandotra, P., & Lall, B. (2020), "Evolving Air Pollution Monitoring Systems for Green 5G: From Cloud to Edge. In Proceedings of the 2020 8th International Conference on Reliability, Infocom Technologies and Optimization (Trends and Future Directions) (ICRITO), Noida, India, 4–5 June 2020, pp. 1231–1235.

Ghobaei-Arani M., Souri A., Safara F., Norouzi M. (2020), "An efficient task scheduling approach using moth-flame optimization algorithm for cyber-physical system applications in fog computing", Trans. Emerg. Telecommunication. Technol., Vol. 31, pp. 37-70.

Giordano, A., Spezzano, G., Vinci, A. (2016), "Smart Agents and Fog Computing for Smart City Applications." In: Alba, E., Chicano, F., Luque, G. (eds) Smart Cities. Smart-CT 2016. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (), vol 9704. Springer, Cham, pp. 1-14.

González-Martínez, J. A., Bote-Lorenzo, M. L., Gómez-Sánchez, E., & Cano-Parra, R. (2015), "Cloud computing and education: A state-of-the-art survey". Comput. Educ., 80, pp. 132–151.

Gu, Lin, Z. Deze, G. Song, B. Ahmed, and X. Yong. (2015), "Cost-efficient resource management in fog computing supported medical cps", IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computing, 2015, pp. 1-12.

Guinard, D., Trifa, V., Karnouskos, S., Spiess, P., & Savio, D. (2010), "Interacting with the SOA-based IoT: Discovery, query, selection, and on-demand provisioning of web services". IEEE Transactions on Services Computing, 3(3), pp. 223–235.

Gupta H., Dastjerdi A. V., Ghosh S. K., & Buyya R. (2016), "Ifogsim: A toolkit for modeling and simulation of resource management techniques in the internet of things, edge, and fog computing environments", CoRR, abs/1606.02007, pp.23-45.

Guzuyeva, E. R. (2018), "Application of information technology in large and small businesses. In Proceedings of the IV International Correspondence Scientific and Practical Conference." AIP Publishing, pp. 226–230.

Hakan (2023), "Bibliometric analysis and scientific mapping of IoT", https://www.researchgate.net/publication/367286890_Bibliometric_Analysis_and _Scientific_Mapping_of_IoT,Journal of Computer Information Systems, pp. 1–8.

Hamdoun, S., Rachedi, A., & Ghamri-Doudane, Y. (2015), "Radio resource sharing for MTC in LTE-A: An interference-aware bipartite graph approach", In: 2015 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM) IEEE., pp. 1–7.

Hassan Z., Ali H., Badawy M. (2015), "IoT: Definitions, Challenges, and Recent Research Directions", International Journal of Computer Applications, Vol. 128, pp. 975-987.

Heck, M., Edinger, J., Schaefer, D., & Becker, C. (2018), "IoT Applications in Fog and Edge Computing: Where Are We and Where Are We Going?". In Proceedings of the 2018 27th International Conference on Computer Communication and Networks (ICCCN), Hangzhou, China, 30 July–2 August 2018, pp. 1–6.

Heer T., Garcia-Morchon O., Hummen R., Keoh SL., Kumar S.S., Wehrle K. (2011), "Security challenges in the IP based IoT", Wirel Pers Commun, 61(3), pp. 527–542.

Henze, M., Matzutt, R., Hiller, J., Erik, M., Ziegeldorf, J. H., van der Giet, J., & Wehrle, K. (2020), "Complying with Data Handling Requirements in Cloud Storage Systems", IEEE Trans. Cloud Computing., pp. 1-10.

Hoang, D., & Dang, T. D. (2017), "FBRC: Optimization of task scheduling in fog-based region and cloud". In: 2017 IEEE Trustcom/ BigDataSE /ICESS, pp. 1109–1114. IEEE.

Huang, Q., Yang, Y., & Wang, L. (2017), "Secure data access control with ciphertext update and computation outsourcing in fog computing for the IoT". IEEE Access, 5, pp. 12941–12950.

Huttunen, J., Jauhiainen, J., Lehti, L., Nylund, A., Martikainen, M., & Lehner, O. (2019), "Big data, cloud computing and data science applications in finance and accounting". ACRN Oxf. J. Financ. Risk Perspect., 8, pp. 16–30.

Jamil B., Ijaz H., Shojafar M., Munir K., &Buyya R. (2022), "Resource Allocation and Task Scheduling in Fog Computing and Internet of Everything Environments: A Taxonomy, Review, and Future Directions. ACM Computing Surveys", pp. 1-35.

Jia, B., Hu, H., Zeng, Y., Xu, T., & Yang, Y. (2018), "Double-matching resource allocation strategy in fog computing networks based on cost efficiency". J. Commun. Netw., 20(3), pp. 237–246.

Katal A, Sethi V, Lamba S, and Choudhury T (2016), "Fog computing: Issues, challenges, and tools Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing", pp. 971–982.

Kaur, J., Agrawal, A., & Khan, R. A. (2020), "Security Issues in Fog Environment: A Systematic Literature Review". Int. J. Wirel. Inf. Netw., 27, pp. 467–483.

Khan, S., Parkinson, S., & Qin, Y. (2017), "Fog computing security: A review of current applications and security solutions". J. Cloud Computing., 6, pp. 1–22.

Kimovski, D., Ijaz, H., Saurabh, N., & Prodan, R. (2018), "Adaptive nature-inspired fog architecture". In: 2018 IEEE 2nd International Conference on Fog and Edge Computing (ICFEC), pp. 1–8. IEEE.

Kopras, B., Idzikowski, F., Bossy, B., Kryszkiewicz, P., & Bogucka, H. (2023). "Communication and Computing Task Allocation for Energy-Efficient". Fog Networks. Sensors, 23, pp. 997.

Kumari A. Dr., Tanwar S., Tyagi S., Kumar N., Rodrigues J. (2019), "Fog Computing for Smart Grid Systems in 5G Environment: Challenges and Solutions. IEEE Wireless Communications", pp. 1–8.

Lai K.L., Chen J. (2021), "Development of Smart Cities with Fog Computing and IoT", Journal of Ubiquitous Computing and Communication Technologies, 3, pp. 52-60.

Lata M., Kumar V. (2022), "Fog Computing Infrastructure for Smart City Applications", Recent Advancements in ICT Infrastructure and Applications, pp.119–133.

Li, H., Shou, G., Hu, Y., & Guo, Z. (2016), "Mobile edge computing: Progress and challenges". In Proceedings of the 2016 4th IEEE International Conference on Mobile Cloud Computing, Services, and Engineering (Mobile Cloud), Oxford, UK, 29 March–1 April 2016, pp. 83–84.

Li, Q., Zhao, J., Gong, Y., & Zhang, Q. (2019), "Energy-efficient computation offloading and resource allocation in fog computing for the internet of everything". China Common., 16(3), pp. 32–41.

Liu, L., Qi, D., Zhou, N., & Wu, Y. (2018), "A task scheduling algorithm based on classification mining in fog computing environment". Wirel. Commun. Mobile Compute., 2018, pp. 1-100.

Liu, Z., Yang, X., Yang, Y., Wang, K., & Mao, G. (2018), DATS: "Dispersive stable task scheduling in heterogeneous fog networks". IEEE Internet Things J., 6(2), pp. 3423–3436.

Macarulla, Marcel & Albano, Michele & Ferreira, Luis & Teixeira, César (2016), "Lessons Learned in Building a Middleware for Smart Grids. Journal of Green Engineering". 6. 1-26. 10.13052/jge1904-4720.611. IEEE Access, 6, 23626–23638, pp. 4-19.

Mao, Y., You, C., Zhang, J., Huang, K., &Letaief, K. B. (2017), "A survey on mobile edge computing: The communication perspective". IEEE Common. Surv. Tutor., 19, pp. 2322–2358.

Marbukh, V. (2019), "Towards Fog Network Utility Maximization (FoNUM) for Managing Fog Computing Resources". In Proceedings of the 2019 IEEE International Conference on Fog Computing (ICFC), Prague, Czech Republic, 24–26 June 2019, pp. 195–200.

Matrouk K., Alatoun K. (2021), "Scheduling Algorithms in Fog Computing: A Survey". International Journal of Networked and Distributed Computing", Volume 9, Issue 1, January 2021, pp. 59 – 74.

Mebrek, A., Merghem-Boulahia, L., &Esseghir, M. (2017), "Efficient green solution for a balanced energy consumption and delay in the IoT-Fog-Cloud computing". In Proceedings of the 2017 IEEE 16th International Symposium on Network Computing and Applications (NCA), Cambridge, MA, USA, 30 October–1 November 2017, pp. 1–4.

Mohan, P., & Thangavel, R. (2013), "Resource selection in grid environment based on trust evaluation using feedback and performance". Am. J. Appl. Sci., 10(8), pp. 924.

Naha, R. K., Garg, S., Georgakopoulos, D., Jayaraman, P. P., Gao, L., Xiang, Y., & Ranjan, R. (2018), "Fog computing: Survey of trends, architectures, requirements, and research directions". IEEE Access, 6, pp. 47980–48009.

Ni, L., Zhang, J., Jiang, C., Yan, C., & Yu, K. (2017), "Resource allocation strategy in fog computing based on priced timed petri nets". IEEE Internet Things J., 4(5), pp. 1216–1228.

Parikh, S., Dave, D., Patel, R., & Doshi, N. (2019), "Security and privacy issues in cloud, fog and edge computing". Procedia Comput. Sci., 160, pp.734–739.

Pham, X. Q., Man, N. D., Tri, N. D. T., Thai, N. Q., & Huh, E. N. (2017), "A cost-and performance-effective approach for task scheduling based on collaboration between cloud and fog computing". Int. J. Distrib. Sens. Netw., 13(11), 1550147717742073, pp. 10-18.

Prakash P., Darshaun K. G., Yaazhlene P., Medidhi V. G., & Vasudha B. (2017), "Fog Computing: Issues, Challenges, and Future Directions", International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE), 7(6), pp.3669-3673.

Prakash, M., & Ravichandran, T. (2012), "An efficient resource selection and binding model for job scheduling in grid". Eur. J. Sci. Res., 81(4), pp. 450–458.

Priyadarshinee P. (2021), "Impact of Fog Computing on Indian Smart-Cities: An Empirical Study", 10.21203, pp-12-33.

Qasem M., Abu srhan A., Natouryeh H., Alzaghoul E. (2020), "Fog Computing Framework for Smart City Design", International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (iJIM), 14, pp.109.

Rahmani A.M., Thanigaivelan N.K., Gia T.N., Granados J., Negash B., Liljeberg P., & Tenhunen H. (2020), "Smart e-health gateway: bringing intelligence to internet-of-things based ubiquitous healthcare systems", In 12th Annual IEEE Consumer Communications and Networking Conference (CCNC), Jan 2015, pp. 826–834.

Ravi L. et al. (2016), "A Collaborative Location Based Travel Recommendation System through Enhanced Rating Prediction for the Group of Users", Hindawi Publishing Corporation Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience, Vol 2016, pp. 1-11.

Ren, Y., Zhu, F., Qi, J., Wang, J., & Sangaiah, A. K. (2019), "Identity management and access control based on blockchain under edge computing for the industrial IoT". Appl. Sci., 9, pp. 2058.

Sabireen H., Neelanarayanan V. (2021), "A Review on Fog Computing: Architecture, Fog with IoT, Algorithms and Research Challenges" ICT Express, Volume 7, Issue 2, pp. 162-176.

Saini M.K., Saini R.K. (2019), "IoT Applications and Security Challenges: A Review", International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT), Volume 7, Issue 12, pp. 1-5.

Sarkar, S., Chatterjee, S. (2015), "Assessment of the suitability of fog computing in the context of internet of things", IEEE Transactions on Cloud Computing pp. 99.

Satyakam R., Rajni A. (2021), "Fog Computing Architecture, Application and Resource Allocation: A Review", WCNC-2021: Workshop on Computer Networks & Communications, May 01, 2021, Chennai, India, pp. 31-36.

Savya S. (2021), "Scheduling in Fog Computing: A Survey. International Journal of Advanced Research in Science", Communication and Technology (IJARSCT), Volume 1, Issue 2, pp. 154-157.

Sha, K., Yang, T. A., Wei, W., & Davari, S. (2020), "A survey of edge computing-based designs for IoT security". Digit. Commun. Netw., 6, pp.195–202.

Shalini C., Mohana Y., and Devi S. (2019), "Fog Computing for Smart Cities", Proceedings of the 2019 14th International Conference on Computer Engineering and Systems (ICCES), pp. 912-916.

Sheikh, M. S., Noor Enam, R., & Qureshi, R. I. (2023), "Machine learning-driven task scheduling with dynamic K-means based clustering algorithm using fuzzy logic in FOG environment", Frontiers in Computer Science, 5, 1293209, pp. 1-15.

Skarlat O., Schulte S., Borkowski M., Leitner P. (2016), "Resource Provisioning for IoT Services in the Fog. In Service-Oriented Computing and Applications (SOCA)", IEEE 9th International Conference, pp. 32-39.

Songhorabadi M., Rahimi M., Farid A. M., and Kashani M. H. (2020), "Fog Computing Approaches in Smart Cities: A State-of-the-Art Review" Computer Science Networking and Internet Architecture (cs.NI), Volume 2, pp. 1-19.

Stojmenovic, I., & Wen, S. (2014), "The fog computing paradigm: Scenarios and security issues". In Proceedings of the 2014 Federated Conference on Computer Science and Information Systems, Warsaw, Poland, 7–10 Sept. 2014, pp. 1–8.

Sun, Y., & Zhang, N. (2017), "A resource-sharing model based on a repeated game in fog computing". Saudi J. Biol. Sci., 24(3), pp. 687–694.

Syed, M. H., Fernandez, E. B., & Ilyas, M. (2016), "A pattern for fog computing". In Proceedings of the 10th Travelling Conference on Pattern Languages of Programs, Leerdam, The Netherlands, 7–10 April 2016, pp. 1–10.

Tao, Z., Xia, Q., Hao, Z., Li, C., Ma, L., Yi, S., & Li, Q. (2019), "A survey of virtual machine management in edge computing". Proc. IEEE, 107, pp.1482–1499.

Tzavaras, A., Mainas, N., & Petrakis, E. G. M. (2023), "OpenAPI framework for the Web of Things". IoT, 21, 100675, pp. 1–2.

Uckelmann, D., Harrison, M., &Michahelles, F. (2011), "An architectural approach towards the future IoT". In Architecting the IoT. pp. 10–12.

Wadhwa, H., & Aron, R. (2018), "Fog computing with the integration of IoT: Architecture, applications and future directions. Big Data & Cloud Computing, Social Computing & Networking, Sustainable Computing & Communications (ISPA/IUCC/BDCloud/SocialCom/SustainCom)", Melbourne, VIC, Australia, 11–13 December 2018, pp. 987–994.

Wagan, S. A., Koo, J., Siddiqui, I. F., Attique, M., Shin, D. R., & Qureshi, N. M. F. (2022), "Internet of medical things and trending converged technologies: A comprehensive review on real-time applications". Journal of King Saud University – Computer and Information Sciences, pp.1-100.

Wang J., Li D. (2019), "Task scheduling based on a hybrid heuristic algorithm for smart production line with fog computing", Sensors (Basel), Vol. 19, pp. 1023.

Wang, F., Ge, B., Zhang, L., Chen, Y., Xin, Y., & Li, X. (2013), "A system framework of security management in enterprise systems". Systems and Behavioral Research Science, 30(3), pp.287–299.

Wu, Y., Sheng, Q. Z., & Zeadally, S. (2013), "RFID: Opportunities and challenges. In Next-generation wireless technologies", Springer, pp. 105–129.

Xing, Y., Li, L., Bi, Z., Wilamowska-Korsak, M., & Zhang, L. (2013), "Operations research (OR) in service industries: A comprehensive review". Systems and Behavioral Research Science, 30(3), pp. 300–353.

Xu, L. (2011), "Enterprise systems: State-of-the-art and future trends". IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 7(4), pp. 630–640.

**17**

Yang, Y., Wang, K., Zhang, G., Chen, X., Luo, X., & Zhou, M. T. (2018), "MEETS: Maximal energy efficient task scheduling in homogeneous fog networks". IEEE Internet Things J., 5(5), pp. 4076–4087.

Yang, Y., Zhao, S., Zhang, W., Chen, Y., Luo, X., & Wang, J. (2018), "DEBTS: Delay energy balanced task scheduling in homogeneous fog networks". IEEE Internet Things J., 5(3), pp. 2094 –2106.

Yi, S., Qin, Z., & Li, Q. (2015), "Security and privacy issues of fog computing: A survey". In International Conference on Wireless Algorithms, Systems, and Applications, Springer, pp. 685–695.

Yin, L., Luo, J., & Luo, H. (2018), "Tasks scheduling and resource allocation in fog computing based on containers for smart manufacturing". IEEE Trans. Industr. Inf., 14(10), pp. 4712–4721.

Ystgaard, K. F., Atzori, L., Palma, D. Heegaard, P. E., Bertheussen, L. E., Jensen, M. R., & De Moor, K. (2023), "Review of the theory, principles, and design requirements of human-centric IoT". Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing. pp. 1-10.

Yuan, J., & Li, X. (2018), "A reliable and lightweight trust computing mechanism for IoT edge devices based on multi-source feedback information fusion". IEEE Access, 6, 23626–23638, pp. 4-19.

Yudidharma, A., Nathaniel, N., Gimli, T. N., Achmad, S., & Kurniawan, A. (2023), "A systematic literature review: Messaging protocols and electronic platforms used in the IoT for the purpose of building smart homes". Procedia Computer Science, 216, pp. 194–203.

Zhang C. (2020), "Design and application of fog computing and IoT service platform for smart city". Future Generation Computer Systems, 112, 10.1016/j.future.2020.06.016, pp.2-10.

Zhang, P., Zhou, M., & Fortino, G. (2018), "Security and trust issues in Fog computing: A survey". Future Gener. Compute. Syst., 88, pp. 18–28.

Zhang, Y., Zhou, B., Jiao, L., & Chen, J. (2015), "An innovative low-cost detection system for IoT privacy leaks". Computer Networks, 90, pp. 80–82.

Zhenqi, S., Haifeng, Y., Xuefen, C., &Hongxia, L. (2013), "Research on uplink scheduling algorithm of massive M2M and H2H services in LTE", pp. 1-10.

**sB. Websites**

[1] https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-57639-8_1 accessed on 18th May 2019.

[2] https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-91764-_16#citeas accessed on 18th October 2019.

[3] https://www.comsoc.org/publications/magazines/ieee-communications-magazine accessed on 27th January 2020.

[4] https://www.researchgate.net/publication/360903277 acscessed on 7th March 2020.

[5] https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39595-1_14 pdf accessed on 15th August 2020.

[6] https://vitalflux.com/cohen-kappa-score-python-example-machine-learning/ accessed on 26th December 2020.

[7] https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0167739X17311962?Dihub accessed on 19th January 2021.

[8] https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/architecture-of-internet-of-things-iot/ accessed on 30th March 2020.

[9] https://www.google.com/search?q=IoT+protocol+architecture%3A&rlz=1C1RXQR_enIN1102IN1102&oq=IoT+protocol+architecture%3A&gs_lcrp=EgZjaHJvbWUyBggAEEUYOTIHCAEQABiABDIICAIQABgWGB4yCAgDEAAYFhgeMggIBBAAGBYYHjIICAUQABgWGB4yCAgGEAAYFhgeMggIBxAAGBYYHjIICAgQABgWGB4yDQgJEAAYhgMYgAQYigXSAQkxNDMwajBqMTWoAgiwAgE&sourceid=chrome&ie=UTF-8 accessed on 15th April 2020. accessed on 1st May 2020.

[10] https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-981-19-2374-6_5 pdf accessed on 31th May 2022.

[11] https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation.aspx?paperid=108574 accessed on 15th October 2022.

[12] http://www.wattics.com. Smart metering, accessed on 19th October 2022.

[13] https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/preemptive-and-non-preemptive-scheduling/ #google_vignette,  accessed on 29th November 2022.

[14] https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/fuzzy-logic-introduction/, accessed on 1st December 2022.

[15] https://www.hindawi.com  accessed on 1st January 2023.

[16] https://ietresearch.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1049/gtd2.12291 accessed on 4th January 2023.

[17] https://www.mqtt.org accessed on 1st February 2023.

[18] https://www.techtarget.com/iotagenda/definition/fog-computing-fogging accessed on 21st February 2023.

[19] https://www.dzone.com accessed on 25th March 2023.

[20] https://www.javatpoint.com/precision-and-recall-in-machine-learning accessed on 30th March 2023.

[21] https://www.paloaltonetworks.com/cyberpedia/what-is-quality-of-service-qos#:~:text=Quality%20of%20service%20(QoS)%20is,specific%20flows%20in%20network%20traffic. accessed on 11th April 2023.

[22] https://http://www.openfogconsortium.org/ra pdf accessed on 23rd May 2023.

[23] https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.14732 accessed on 28th May 2023.

[24] https://www.simplilearn.com/tutorials/machine-learning-tutorial/machine-learning-steps accessed on 1st June 2023.

[25] https://www.mdpi.com/2079-9292/12/7/1511/ accessed on 7th June 2023.

[26] https://pac.pogil.org/index.php/pac/article/view/304 accessed on 9th June 2023.

[27] https://www.researchgate.net/publication/377025158_An_Analysis_of_Methods_and_Metrics_for_Task_Scheduling_in_Fog_Computing accessed on 17th June 2023.

[28] https://www.sam-solutions.com accessed on 29th June 2023.

[29] https://appquipo.com/blog/develop-ai-based-oms-software/ accessed on 30th June 2023.

[30] https://www.academia.edu/119104943/Resource_Allocation_and_Task_Scheduling_in_Fog_Computing_and_Internet_of_Everything_Environments_A_Taxonomy_Review_and_Future_Directions accessed on 7th July 2023.

[31] https://www.ibm.com/topics/machine-learning-algorithms accessed on 14th July 2023.

[32] https://www.simplilearn.com/tutorials/machine-learning-tutorial/confusion-matrix-machine-learning accessed on 27th July 2023.

[33] https://www.researchgate.net/publication/377457479_Machine_Learning_App roaches_To_Predict_The_Stability_of_Smart_Grid accessed on 29th July 2023.

[34] https://www.devopedia.org accessed on 7th August 2023.

[35] https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/fuzzy-logic-introduction/ accessed on 3rd ssSeptember 2023.

[36] https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3513002 accessed on 25th September 2023.

[37] https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/3-layer-iot-architecture/?ref=ml_lbp accessed on 22nd October 2023.

[38] https://www.analyticsvidhya.com/blog/2021/08/conceptual-understanding-of-logistic-regression-for-data-science-beginners/ accessed on 5th November 2023.

[39] https://www.google.com/search?q=round+robin+scheduling+algorithm+in+m achine+learning&rlz=1C1RXQR_enIN1102IN1102&oq=round+robin+schedul ing+algorithm+in+ma&gs_lcrp=EgZjaHJvbWUqBwgBECEYoAEyBggAEEU YOTIHCAEQIRigATIHCAIQIRigATIHCAMQIRigATIHCAQQIRifBdIBCj E3NzkwajBqMTWoAgiwAgE&sourceid=chrome&ie=UTF-8 accessed on 18th November 2023.

[40] https://www.sas.com/en_gb/insights/articles/analytics/machine-learning-algorithms.html accessed on 24th November 2023.

[41] https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/5-layer-architecture-of-internet-of-things/?ref=ml_lbp accessed on 28th November 2023.

[42] https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/confusion-matrix-machine-learning/ accessed on 1st December 2023.

[43] https://www.javatpoint.com/confusion-matrix-in-machine-learning accessed on 6th December 2023.

[44] https://www.javatpoint.com/how-to-check-the-accuracy-of-your-machine-learning-model accessed on 26th December 2023.

[45] Sensors | Free Full-Text | Simulation Tools for Fog Computing: A Comparative Analysis (mdpi.com) accessed on 1st January 2024.

[46] https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/difference-between-sdn-and-nfv/ accessed on 11th January 2024.

[47] https://www.sdxcentral.com/networking/sdn/definitions/what-the-definition-of-software-defined-networking-sdn/why-sdn-software-defined-networking-or-nfv-network-functions-virtualization-now/ accessed on 17th January 2024.

[48] https://www.analyticsvidhya.com/blog/2015/12/improve-machine-learning-results/ accessed on 1st February 2024.

[49] https://www.simplilearn.com/tutorials/artificial-intelligence-tutorial/heuristic-function-in-ai accessed on 14s[th] February 2024.

[50] https://www.spiceworks.com/tech/artificial-intelligence/articles/what-is-heuristics/ accessed on 7th March 2024.

[51] https://www.comsoc.org/publications/magazines/ieee-communications-magazine/cfp/future-trends-fogedge-computing accessed on 15th April 2024.

[52] https://www.researchgate.net/publication/320855949_Fog_Computing_Issues _Challenges_and_Future_Directions accessed on 1st June 2024.

[53] https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC10099336/ accessed on 1st June 2024.

[54] https://www.researchgate.net/figure/iFogSim-Architecture-Adapted-from-29_fig1_369571348 accessed on 5th June 2024.

[55] https://www.researchgate.net/publication/349710974_LEAF_Simulating_Larg e_Energy-Aware_Fog_Computing_Environments accessed on 7th June 2024.

[56] https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/what-is-mipsmillion-of-instructions-per-second/ accessed on 7th June 2024

# APPENDIX

# Appendix: List of Publications and Conferences Attended

1) Suraj Rajaram Nalawade, Dr. Ashok Kumar Jetawat, "Use of Clustering Machine Learning Algorithms in Fog Computing for Task Scheduling and Resource Allocation" has been published in European Chemical Bulletin (ISSN: 2063-5346), Volume 11, Issue 8, 2022 Date of Publication: - August 2022.

2) Suraj Rajaram Nalawade, Dr. Ashok Kumar Jetawat, "A Comparative Study of Various Classification Machine Learning Algorithms in Fog Computing: Task Scheduling" has been published in Industrial Engineering Journal (ISSN 0970-2555), Volume: 52, Issue 5, No. UGC Care Approved, Group I, Peer Reviewed Journal 4, May: 2023.

3) "The Survey on Fog Computing and its Applications" International Virtual Conference on "Emerging Era of Applications of Computer, 15th -16th of January 2022 Organized by Pacific University Udaipur.

4) National Seminar on "Implementation of Academic Bank of Credit (ABC) in Higher Education Institutes" on 21st March 2023 Organized by Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women University Udaipur.

5) IP Awareness Training Program under "National Intellectual Property Awareness Mission" Organized by Intellectual Property Office, India on 18, January 2023.