

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest regards and gratitude to my eminent and esteemed supervisor and guide **Dr. Ashok Kumar Jetawat**, Professor, Department of Computer Engineering, Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur, Rajasthan, for giving me inspiration, guidance, valuable suggestions, opinions and correction for the betterment of my research work. I will always be grateful to him. He was always available for help at any point in time. His guidance helped me in the time of research work and writing of my thesis.

As I reflect on this milestone, I am reminded of the profound significance of the support network which surrounds us. To **Dr. Jayshree Jain** madam, I extend my deepest gratitude for her unwavering support and understanding throughout this journey. In this journey, I have witnessed the impact of her support, whether it was through guiding me at different stages of my research work or offering words of encouragement when the path seemed daunting. Her contribution, perhaps less visible, has been just as vital in shaping my academic growth.

I am thankful to the University authorities, especially **Dr. HEMANT KOTHARI**, Dean, Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur, Rajasthan, and **Shri Ramesh Agrawal** who guided me at different stages of research work and others in PAHER for their support and encouragement. Without their precious support, it would not be possible to conduct this research, and Ms. **Kusum Madam** and **Dr. Surya** Pacific University Udaipur for helping me in creating the Plagiarism report.

A special note of gratitude to my father, **Shri Rajaram Anna Nalawade**, My mother, **Smt. Sangita Rajaram Nalawade**, whose blessings, love, and support have always aided me in my research endeavors. My lovely wife, **Smt. Ashwini Suraj Nalawade**, my smart son, **Mr. Advait Suraj Nalawade**, and my brother, **Mr. Uday Rajaram Nalawade**, Dr. Manisha Songire (Nalawade) Mrs. Survena Yadav, Mr. Vinod Yadav,

who has always stood by me, I express my gratitude for their love, support, and encouragement.

I am thankful to my grandfather, **Shri Namdev Shivram Deokar**, whose blessings, love, and inspiration always added to my research work.

I would like to thank **Prof. Dasharath Sagare Sir** - Founder President, YSPM's Yashoda Technical Campus, Satara; **Prof. Ajinkya D. Sagare Sir** - Vice President, **Mr. Ganesh K. Survase Sir** - Registrar, **Mr. R. D. Mohite** - Associate Director, YSPM's Yashoda Technical Campus, Satara, **Dr. R. J. Dias** working at Government College of Pharmacy, Karad, Late **Dr. A. B. Mahatme**, Ex Principal, YSPM's Yashoda Technical Campus, Sataras.

I pay all my heartfelt gratitude to my friend Mr. Navnath Pandurang Jadhav working at MAHLE Holding India Pvt Ltd, Pune, Dr. Madhuri Navanath Jadhav Professor at Pune, Mr. Amol Baburao Nalawade, Mphasis Limited, Pune, Mr. Abhijeet Avinash Salunkhe, Branch Manager at Janata Sahakari Bank, Satara, Mrs. Nikita Abhijeet Salunkhe, my colleague Prof. Hakke Dasganu G. Working at Yashoda Technical Campus, Satara, and Pacific University, Udaipur for helping me directly or indirectly making this research work a success.

Thank you to everyone who has directly or indirectly helped me on this beautiful voyage.

**NALAWADE SURAJ RAJARAM**

# PREFACE

Smart cities have emerged as a solution to enhance services and quality of life for residents and visitors. These cities have made significant progress in optimizing resource utilization, promoting environmental protection, improving infrastructure operations and maintenance, and strengthening safety and security measures. Achieving these improvements requires the implementation of new and existing technologies, as well as the application of optimization techniques. Among the technologies supporting smart city applications, the Internet of Things, FOG computing, and cloud computing play vital roles. Integrating these three technologies into a single system, known as the integrated IoT-Fog-Cloud system, offers a sophisticated platform for developing and managing various smart city applications. By leveraging the strengths of IoT gadgets, FOG nodes, and cloud services, this platform enables applications to deliver optimal functionality and performance. The integrated system opens up numerous opportunities for enhancing applications across sectors such as energy, transportation, healthcare, and more. This research work focuses on designing an improvised SMART FOG system, which the key emphasis of the study.

## **Outline of the Thesis:**

The entire research work is divided into six chapters as discussed. The chapterization contains the overview of the proposed SMART FOG protocol-based technique, implementation challenges, task allocation, scheduling techniques, fault tolerance mechanisms, literature review of different authors, result analysis/testing, performance evaluation, and conclusion.

- **Chapter - 1 Introduction:** Serves as a foundation for the research work by highlighting the need for the study. It accomplishes this by referencing various articles and analyzing surveys to establish a solid base for the proposed research. To clarify the background concepts of fog computing, different terminologies related to fog computing are defined and explained. This ensures that readers have a clear understanding of the key terms and concepts associated with the research topic. The chapter also provides an overview of the proposed SMART FOG protocol-based technique. It explains the core features and functionality of

the technique, highlighting how it differs from existing approaches. Additionally, a comparative study is conducted to compare the proposed technique with other relevant methods in the field. This comparison helps to establish the unique benefits and advantages of the SMART FOG protocol-based technique. By encompassing these elements, the first chapter sets the stage for the research work, presenting the need for the study, providing a solid base through article references and survey analysis, clarifying fog computing concepts, and introducing the proposed SMART FOG protocol-based technique along with its comparative study.

- **Chapter -2 Literature Review:** Focuses on reviewing past studies conducted in the research area. It involves examining a broad range of previously completed research projects and providing a comprehensive background of other relevant research works. These sources of literature include journals, articles, research papers, and reputable platforms such as the OpenFog Consortium, IEEE conferences and journals, Springer publications, and online fog computing articles and resources. By conducting this review, the chapter aims to gather existing knowledge, identify gaps in the research field, and build upon the work that has already been done. It provides a critical analysis and synthesis of the literature, highlighting key findings, methodologies, and advancements in fog computing and related domains. The review of the literature serves several purposes. Firstly, it helps to establish the current state of the research area, providing a context for the proposed study. Secondly, it helps the researcher identify research gaps or areas that require further exploration. By examining the existing literature, the chapter also highlights the strengths and weaknesses of previous approaches, leading to insights and inspiration for the proposed research. The sources of literature mentioned, such as the OpenFog Consortium, IEEE, Springer, and online fog computing articles and resources, represent reputable and authoritative platforms in the field. By consulting these sources, the chapter ensures a comprehensive and reliable review of the existing literature, contributing to the overall credibility and validity of the research project.

- **Chapter -3 Research Methodology:** This is dedicated to describing the methodology used in the research project. It primarily focuses on the architecture of the proposed system, including the use of block diagrams to visualize the system's structure. The chapter provides a detailed explanation of the different layers within the architecture, highlighting their functions and interactions. In addition to the system architecture, the chapter also explores the various technologies employed in the implementation of the proposed system. It delves into the specifics of these technologies, discussing their relevance and suitability for the project. The methodology chapter also outlines the research methods employed in the study. It mentions the use of questionnaires or surveys to gather data and insights from relevant stakeholders or experts in the field. These methods help in understanding the requirements, challenges, and expectations associated with the proposed system. By gathering feedback through questionnaires, the research project can align its objectives with the needs of the intended users or beneficiaries. Furthermore, the chapter addresses any gaps or open challenges that were identified during the literature review. It highlights how these gaps are addressed or resolved through the proposed research. The focus is on designing and developing the proposed system to bridge these gaps and overcome challenges identified in previous studies.
- **Chapter - 4 SMART FOG-based Technique:** Focuses on the implementation of the proposed system. The chapter discusses the total work done in the system and outlines the next steps and milestones to be achieved. It also addresses the challenges encountered during the selection of communication protocols and security measures for each layer of communication. The sharing of computational power between IoT devices and fog devices is identified as a challenging aspect, and an improvised method is proposed to enable this sharing. The proposed SMART FOG protocol-based technique aims to execute tasks in the fog environment to avoid latency issues associated with sending requests to cloud centers.

- **Chapter – 5 Allocation and Scheduling of Computational Power:** The focus is on the allocation and scheduling of computational resources shared with IoT devices. The chapter explores different techniques of resource allocation and scheduling, identifying the most efficient ones suitable for fog computing. The current work is tested according to the proposed system, and the results are evaluated to meet the objectives of the research. The evaluation specifically assesses the impact of the proposed work on latency issues in the existing system. Testing and evaluation are crucial for validating the hypothesis, which centers around implementing the SMART FOG protocol-based technique to create a fog environment that shares computational power with IoT devices.
- **Chapter – 6 Conclusion and Future Work:** Provides a summary of the research work and its outcomes in comparison to the expected results defined during the design phase. A detailed analysis is conducted to project future possibilities and enhancements to the system resulting from the study. The chapter also highlights key challenges and issues that warrant further investigation for future development. This chapter serves as a conclusion to the research, summarizing its findings and suggesting avenues for future research and improvement.

In conclusion, based on the evaluation of various accuracy parameters, it can be inferred that the MLP classifier and Logistic Regression are the most suitable classification algorithms for resource allocation and task offloading in a SMART FOG environment. These classifiers consistently outperform the others and demonstrate their effectiveness in achieving accurate and reliable results.

# INDEX

<b>Chapter-1 Introduction</b>	<b>1-39</b>
1.1 Fog Computing	3
1.2 Fog Computing Architecture	4
1.3 Issues Related to Fog Computing	6
1.3.1 Privacy	
1.3.2 Network Security	
1.3.3 Network Management	
1.3.4 Placement of Fog Servers	
1.3.5 Delay in Computing	
1.3.6 Energy Consumption	
1.4 IoT-Based Architectures and Protocols	10
1.4.1 Three and Five-Layer Architectures	
1.4.2 IoT Device Connectivity: Architectures and Protocols	
1.4.3 IoT Protocol Architecture	
1.4.4 Layer IoT Architecture	
1.4.5 Five-Layer IoT Architecture	
1.4.6 Types of IoT Connections	
1.5 Cloud And Fog Based Architectures	17
1.6 Social IoT	19
1.7 Implication of Fog Computing	21
1.8 Fog Computing Task Scheduling	22
1.8.1 Static Scheduling Strategy	
1.8.2 Dynamic Task Scheduling Methods	
1.8.3 Hybrid Task Scheduling Methods	
1.9 Fog Computing Challenges	31
1.9.1 Drones	
1.9.2 Machine learning	
1.9.3 Security and Privacy	
1.9.4 Autonomic Fog Management and Connectivity	

1.10	Machine Learning Algorithms	32
1.10.1	Naive Bayes	
1.10.2	Logistic Regression	
1.10.3	Sequential Minimal Optimization	
1.10.4	Instance-Based Learner	
1.10.5	K-Star	
1.10.6	Multi-Class Classifier	
1.10.7	Random Forest	
1.10.8	Random Tree	
1.10.9	MLP Multi-Layer Perceptron	
1.10.10	k-Nearest Neighbor	
1.10.11	Supervised	
1.10.12	Unsupervised	
1.10.13	Semi-Supervised	
1.11	Fog Computing Real-Time Applications	37
1.11.1	Mobile Big Data Analytics	
1.11.2	Dams Safety	
1.11.3	Smart Utility Service	
1.11.4	Health Data	
1.11.5	Smart Cities	
1.11.6	Tele-Surveillance	
	<b>Chapter-2 Literature Review</b>	<b>40-81</b>
2.1	IoT Overview	41
2.2	Fog and Edge Computing	48
2.3	IoT and Fog Computing Applications	54
2.4	Fog Computing and Smart Cities	61
2.5	Resource Allocation and Task Scheduling Technique	69
	<b>Chapter – 3 Research Methodology</b>	<b>82-111</b>
3.1	Significance of Research	83
3.2	Research Gaps	83
3.3	Problem Statement	85
3.4	Objectives	85

3.5	Hypothesis	86
3.6	Scope of Study	88
3.7	Research Methodology	88
3.7.1	Sources of Information	
3.7.2	Data Collection	
3.7.3	Through Participation in Conference and Paper Published	
3.7.4	Performance Evaluation	
3.7.5	Machine Learning Predictive Model Development	
3.8	Tools and Technique	92
3.8.1	Weka Tools and Technique	
3.8.2	Experimental Setup	
3.8.3	Hypothesis Testing Tool	
3.9	Applied Methodology	97
3.9.1	Fog-Cloud Smart Task Offloading Model	
3.9.2	Task Offloading	
3.9.3	Workflow Diagram	
3.10	Performance Metrics for Supervised and Unsupervised Algorithm	102
3.10.1	Internal Validation	
3.10.2	External Validation	
3.10.3	Simulation Setup	
	<b>Chapter- 4 Smart Fog Protocol-Based Techniques</b>	<b>112-189</b>
4.1	Analysing IoT Infrastructure for Smart Fog Protocol Design	113
4.1.1	Message Queue Telemetry Transport protocol	
4.1.2	Constrained Application Protocol	
4.1.3	Advanced Message Queuing Protocol	
4.1.4	Data Distribution Service	
4.2	Task Scheduling and Allocation in Smart Fog Computing Nodes	117
4.3	Challenges in Implementing Fog Computing	121
4.4	Hypothesis Testing Results	123

4.5	Multiple Regression Model	155
4.6	Use of Machine Learning Approaches in Task Scheduling	160
4.6.1	Logistic Regression	
4.6.2	IBK (Stratified Cross-Validation: 10-Fold)	
4.6.3	K-Star (Stratified Cross-Validation: 10-Fold)	
4.6.4	Adaboostm1 (Stratified Cross-Validation: 10-Fold)	
4.6.5	Comparative Analysis of Classification Algorithms	
4.7	Clustering Algorithms Used for Task Scheduling	180
4.7.1	Canopy Clustering	
4.7.2	Hierarchical Clustering	
4.7.3	Make Density-Based Clustering	
<b>Chapter- 5 Allocation and Scheduling of Computational Power</b>		<b>190-239</b>
5.1	Task Offloading	191
5.2	Task Offloading and Resource Management System	192
5.3	Comparative Analysis Based on Cross-Validation 10 Folds	195
5.4	Comparative Analysis Based on Cross-Validation 20 Folds	206
5.5	Comparative Analysis Based on Split 33%	217
5.6	Overall Performance of Classification Algorithms	228
<b>Chapter – 6 Conclusion and Future Work</b>		<b>240-247</b>
6.1	Findings and Conclusions	241
6.2	Summarization of Hypotheses Testing Results	241
6.3	Use of Machine Learning Techniques for Task Scheduling	244
6.4	Classification Algorithms in Task Offloading and Resource Allocation	244
6.5	Future Scope	245
6.6	Limitations	247

**References**

**246-161**

**Appendix**

**262-263**

**List of Publications and Conferences Attended**

**Publish Research Papers**

**Plagiarism Report**

## **LIST OF TABLES**

<b>Table No.</b>	<b>Title of Table</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
4.1	Comparison of Traditional Scheduling Algorithms	118
4.2	Integer Linear Programming	119
4.3	Comparison of Heuristic Scheduling Algorithms	119
4.4	Comparison of Fuzzy-Based Scheduling Algorithms	120
4.5	Execution Time Reduced due to Fog computing environment	125
4.6	Classification of Fog and Cloud for Execution Time	126
4.7	Type of System (Fog or Cloud) and Average Execution Time	127
4.8	Expected Frequency	127
4.9	$\chi^2$ Calculation	127
4.10	Latency reduced due to Fog computing environment	130
4.11	Classification of Fog and Cloud for Latency	131
4.12	Type of System (Fog or Cloud) and Latency	132
4.13	Expected Frequency	132
4.14	$\chi^2$ Calculation	132
4.15	Energy consumption reduced due to Fog computing environment	135
4.16	Classification of Fog and Cloud for Energy Consumption	136
4.17	Type of System (Fog or Cloud) and Energy Consumption	137
4.18	Expected Frequency	137
4.19	$\chi^2$ Calculation	137
4.20	Cost of execution reduced due to Fog computing environment	140
4.21	Classification of Fog and Cloud for Execution	141
4.22	Type of System (Fog or Cloud) and Cost of Execution	142
4.23	Expected Frequency	142
4.24	$\chi^2$ Calculation	143
4.25	Total network usage reduced due to Fog computing environment	145
4.26	Classification of Fog and Cloud for Total Network Usage	147
4.27	Type of System (Fog or Cloud) and Total Network Usage	148

4.28	Expected Frequency	148
4.29	$\chi^2$ Calculation	148
4.30	Computational Power reduced due to Fog computing environment	151
4.31	Classification of Fog and Cloud for Computational Power	153
4.32	Classification of Fog and Cloud for Computational Power	154
4.33	Expected Frequency	154
4.34	$\chi^2$ Calculation	154
4.35	Descriptive summary of various measures	156
4.36	Variables Considered & Removed	157
4.37	Regression Model Summary	157
4.38	ANOVA Statistics	158
4.39	Coefficient Values	159
4.40	Excluded Measures	160
4.41	Residual Statistics of Model	160
4.42	Performance Measures for Logistic Regression (LR98) at 10-fold cross-validation	162
4.43	Accuracy Class Wise (LR Classifier)	162
4.44	Confusion Matrix (LR)	163
4.45	Performance Measures for IBK at 10-fold cross-validation	163
4.46	Accuracy Class Wise (IBK)	164
4.47	Confusion Matrix (IBK)	164
4.48	Performance Measures for K-Star at 10-fold cross-validation	165
4.49	Accuracy Class Wise (K-Star)	166
4.50	Confusion Matrix (K-Star)	166
4.51	Performance Measures for AdaBoostM1 at 10-fold Cross-Validation	167
4.52	Accuracy Class Wise (AdaBoostM1)	167
4.53	Confusion Matrix (AdaBoostM1)	168
4.54	Performance-Wise Analysis of Classification Algorithms (10 folds, Number of Tasks: 40 and Nodes: 4)	169
4.55	Performance-Wise Analysis of Classification Algorithms (25 folds, Number of Tasks: 40 and Nodes: 4)	171
4.56	Performance-Wise Analysis of Classification Algorithms (10 folds, 160 number of tasks and Nodes: 4)	174

4.57	Performance-Wise Analysis of Classification Algorithms (25 folds, 160 number of tasks and Nodes: 4)	177
4.58	Accuracy Canopy Clustering	182
4.59	Performance Measure Class Wise (Canopy Clustering)	183
4.60	Confusion Matrix (Canopy Clustering)	184
4.61	Overall Accuracy Hierarchical Clustering	184
4.62	Class or Node-wise Hierarchical Clustering Performance Measures	184
4.63	Confusion Matrix (Hierarchical Clustering)	186
4.64	Overall Accuracy Make Density-Based Clustering	186
4.65	Class or Node wise Make Density-Based Clustering Performance Measures	187
4.66	Confusion Matrix (Make Density-Based Clustering)	189
5.1	Comparative Analysis of Classifiers Used for Task Offloading and Resource Allocation: 10-fold Cross Validation	195
5.2	Performance Analysis of Classification Algorithms Used for Task Offloading: 20-fold Cross-validation	206
5.3	Performance Analysis of Classification Algorithms Used for Task Offloading: Percentage Split Method – 33%	217
5.4	Overall Performance of Classification Algorithms used for Task Offloading	228

# LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Fig. No.</b>	<b>Title of Figure</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
1.1	Fog Computing Architecture	5
1.2	Three(A)- and Five(B)-Layer Architectures	12
1.3	IoT Architectures	14
1.4	Types of IoT Connection	15
1.5	Publish / Subscribe Architecture	16
1.6	Cloud and Fog-Based Architectures	18
1.7	IOT & FOG Computing	20
1.8	Fog Computing Task Scheduling	24
1.9	Static Scheduling Strategy	26
1.10	Dynamic Task Scheduling	28
1.11	Hybrid Task Scheduling Methods	29
3.1	Data processing challenges at cloud data center	84
3.2	iFogSim Architecture	90
3.3	Weka Tool K-Star	95
3.4	Task offloading criteria	98
3.5	Flow Diagram: SMART FOG Task Offloading	99
3.6	Workflow Diagram	101
3.7	Cluster validity index	102
3.8	Internal Validation Method	103
3.9	External Validation Method	104
3.10	Optimization Metrics	108
4.1	AMQP architecture	116
4.2	Fog Vs Cloud system based on Average Execution Time (ms)	124
4.3	Fog Vs Cloud system based on Latency (ms)	129
4.4	Fog Vs Cloud system based on Energy Consumption (Joules)	134
4.5	Fog Vs Cloud system based on Cost of Execution (ms)	139
4.6	Fog Vs Cloud system based on Total Network Usage (B/s)	144
4.7	Fog Vs Cloud system based on Computational Power (W)	150

4.8	Evaluation of classifier at 10-fold cross-validation based on various performance measures	170
4.9	Evaluation of classifier at 25-fold cross-validation based on various performance measures	172
4.10	Average Execution Time (ms): 25 folds	173
4.11	Evaluation of classifier at 10-fold cross-validation based on various performance measures	175
4.12	Average Execution Time (ms): 10 folds	176
4.13	Evaluation of classifier at 25-fold cross-validation based on various performance measures	178
4.14	Average Execution Time (ms): 25 folds	179
4.15	Overall Accuracy Canopy Clustering	182
4.16	Class-wise performance measures	183
4.17	Class or Node-wise Hierarchical Clustering Performance Measures	185
4.18	Overall Accuracy Make Density-Based Clustering	187
4.19	Class or Node wise Make Density-Based Clustering Performance Measures	188
5.1	Proposed task offloading management system	192
5.2	Accuracy Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management (Configuration Setting: Cross Validation-10 folds)	196
5.3	Kappa Statistic Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management (Configuration Setting: Cross Validation-10 folds)	197
5.4	TP Rate Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management (Configuration Setting: Cross Validation-10 folds)	198
5.5	FP Rate Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management (Configuration Setting: Cross Validation-10 folds)	199
5.6	Precision Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management	200
5.7	(Configuration Setting: Cross Validation-10 folds)	201
5.8	F-Measure Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management (Configuration Setting: Cross Validation-10 folds)	202
5.9	ROC Area Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management (Configuration Setting: Cross Validation-10 folds)	203
5.10	MAE Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management (Configuration Setting: Cross Validation-10 folds)	204

5.11	Average Execution Time for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management (Configuration Setting: Cross Validation-10 folds)	205
5.12	Accuracy Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management Configuration Setting: Cross Validation-20 folds)	207
5.13	Kappa Statistics Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management Configuration Setting: Cross Validation-20 folds)	208
5.14	TP Rate Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management (Configuration Setting: Cross Validation-20 folds)	209
5.15	TP Rate Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management Configuration Setting: Cross Validation-20 folds)	210
5.16	Precision Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management Configuration Setting: Cross Validation-20 folds)	211
5.17	Recall Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management Configuration Setting: Cross Validation-20 folds)	212
5.18	F-Measure Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management Configuration Setting: Cross Validation-20 folds)	213
5.19	ROC Area Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management Configuration Setting: Cross Validation-20 folds)	214
5.20	MAE Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management Configuration Setting: Cross Validation-20 folds)	215
5.21	Average Execution Time for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management Configuration Setting: Cross Validation-20 folds)	216
5.22	Accuracy Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management (Configuration Setting: Split-33%)	218
5.23	Kappa Statistics Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management (Configuration Setting: Split-33%)	219
5.24	TP Rate Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management (Configuration Setting: Split-33%)	220
5.25	FP Rate Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management (Configuration Setting: Split-33%)	221
5.26	Precision Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management (Configuration Setting: Split-33%)	222
5.27	Recall Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management (Configuration Setting: Split-33%)	223
5.28	F-Measure Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management (Configuration Setting: Split-33%)	224

5.29	ROC Area Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management (Configuration Setting: Split-33%)	225
5.30	MAE Value for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management (Configuration Setting: Split-33%)	226
5.31	Average Execution Time for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management (Configuration Setting: Split-33%)	227
5.32	Overall Accuracy for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management	229
5.33	Overall, Kappa Statistics for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management	230
5.34	Overall TP Rate for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management	231
5.35	Overall FP Rate for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management	232
5.36	Overall Precision for Classifiers Used in Task offloading and Resource Management	233
5.37	Overall Recall for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management	234
5.38	Overall F-Measure for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management	235
5.39	Overall, ROC Area for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management	236
5.40	Overall, MAE for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management	237
5.41	Overall Average Execution Time for Classifiers Used in Task Offloading and Resource Management	238

## **LIST OF ABBRIVATIONS**

ACK	: Acknowledgment
AMQP	: Advanced Message Queuing Protocol
ANN	: Artificial Neural Networks
CCTV	: Closed-Circuit Television
CoAP	: Constrained Application Protocol
CON	: Confirmable Message
CPU	: Central Processing Unit
CSP	: Cloud Service Providers
DCPS	: Data-Centric Publish-Subscribe
DDS	: Data Distribution Service
DLRL	: Data Local Reconstruction Layer
DOTS	: Dynamic Optimization of Time Sequences
EDA	: Estimation of Distribution Algorithm
EDF	: Earliest Deadline First
LFC	: Least Slack Time
FCFS	: First-Come, First-Served
FLPSO	: Fuzzy Logic and Particle Swarm Optimization
FPFTS	: Fuzzy-Possibilistic Fuzzy Time Series
H2H	: Human-to-Human
HAN	: Home-Area Network
HH	: Hybrid Heuristic
HTP	: Hypertext Transfer Protocol
IACO	: Improved Ant Colony Optimization
ICT	: Information and Communications Technology
IEEE	: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
ILP	: Integer Linear Programming
IoE	: Internet of Energy
IoMT	: Internet of Medical Things
IoP	: Internet of People
IoS	: Internet of Things

IP	:	Internet Protocol
IPSO	:	Improved Particle Swarm Optimization
IT	:	Information Technology
ITS	:	Intelligent Transportation System
KNN	:	K-Nearest Neighbor
LAN	:	Local Area Network
LoRaWAN	:	WAN Long Range Wide Area Network
LR	:	Logistic Regression
LWM2M	:	Light-Weight Machine-To-Machine Communication
M2M	:	Machine-to-Machine
MAPE-K	:	Monitor, Analyze, Plan, Execute, and Knowledge
MCC	:	Matthews Correlation Coefficient
MCCV	:	Minimum Critical-Cycle Variance
MEC	:	Mobile Edge Computing
MILP	:	Mixed Integer Linear Programming
MIPs	:	Million Instructions Per Seconds
ML	:	Machine Learning
MLP	:	Multilayer Perceptron
MQTT	:	Message Queuing Telemetry Transport
MTC	:	Machine Type Communication
NCA	:	Network Computing and Applications
NFC	:	Near Field Communication
NFV	:	Network Function Virtualization
NLP	:	Natural Language Processing
NON	:	Non-confirmable
PERA	:	Packetized Ensemble Resource Allocation
PRC	:	Precision-Recall Curve
PTPN	:	Preemptive Task Priority Network
PTZ	:	Pan-Tilt-Zoom
QoS	:	Quality of Service
RFID	:	Radio Frequency Identification

RR	:	Round Robin
RST	:	Representational State Transfer
SC	:	Smart Cities
SDN	:	Software-Defined Networking
SEM	:	Structural Equation Modelling
SG	:	Smart Grid
SIoT	:	Social Internet of Things
SJF	:	Shortest Job First
SLAs	:	Service Level Agreements
SLR	:	Systematic Literature Review
SVM	:	Support Vector Machine
TCP/ IP	:	Transmission Control Protocol and Internet Protocol
TIPS	:	Time-Invariant Power Scheduling
TLS	:	Transport Layer Security
TN	:	True Negative
TP	:	True Positive
UDP	:	User Datagram Protocol
URL	:	Uniform Resource Locator
Wi-Fi	:	Wireless Fidelity
WRR	:	Weighted Round Robin
WSN	:	Wireless Sensor Networks
XMPP	:	Extensible Messaging and Presence Protocol